

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/559,097	SANZ MOLINERO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	VINOD KUMAR	1638	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) VINOD KUMAR.

(2) Barbara Johnson.

**Date of Interview:** 24 February 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
62-63

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Applicant was told that dependency of claim 63 is being corrected through supplemental examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Vinod Kumar/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)